UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,658	02/15/2002	Marc Husemann	tesa AG 1525-WCG	2957
	7590 05/16/200 AUGHLIN & MARC	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AV		COLE, ELIZABETH M		
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/077,6	558	HUSEMANN ET	HUSEMANN ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth	n M. Cole	1794				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 04 February 26	208					
,)⊠ This action is						
3)		<i>'</i> —		ers, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-13</u> is/are pending i	n the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>3, 5-8, 12-13</u> is/are allowed.	William Train of						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by the		\					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		-	-				
	Applicant may not request that any objecti		-					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	D-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/077,658 Page 2

Art Unit: 1700

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-2, 9-11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 11-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,878,775. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims a pressure sensitive adhesive tape and composition comprising a polyarylate which can be crosslinked, (see claim 5 of US '775) and which has a volatiles content of less than 50 ug/g, 10 ug/g and 5 ug/g..
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 1700

4. Claims 1-2, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Husemann et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,878,775. Husemann et al discloses a pressure sensitive adhesive composition based on acrylic acid and/or methacrylic acid and/or derivates thereof which can be coordinatively crosslinked and which has an outgassing tendency of less than 10ug/g as measured by the tesa method as well as a single or double sided tape comprising the adhesive composition on a substrate. See abstract, col. 2, lines 47-67; col. 7, lines 7-20.

5. Process claims 3, 5-8, 12-13 are allowed in view of the Board Decision dated 2/4/08. Husemann does not teach, suggest or render obvious the process claims since Husemann teaches away from UV crosslinking and does not teach the claimed method step of UV crosslinking.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

The examiner's supervisor Rena Dye may be reached at (571) 272-3186.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/077,658 Page 4

Art Unit: 1700

/Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

e.m.c

/Gregory L Mills/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1700